✎AO 85A (Rev. 8/98) Consent to Exercise of Jurisdiction by a United States Judge Over Specific Motion(s)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ___Delaware___

Phoenix Payment Systems, Inc. d/b/a Electronic Payment Exchange, Plaintiff V. Lexcel, Inc. and Lexcel Solutions,Inc., Defendant	**CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE OVER DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS DESCRIBED UNDER 28 U.S.C.§636(b)(1)(B)** CASE NUMBER: 07-537 (***)

CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.§636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, the parties in this case consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct any and all proceedings and enter a final order as to each motion identified below.

MOTION(S):   Plaintiff Phoenix Payment Systems'
Motion to Dismiss Lexcel's Counterclaim (D.I. 12)

Party Represented	Signatures	Date
Phoenix Payment Systems, Inc.	/s/ Julia Heaney (#3052)	1/8/08
Lexcel, Inc. and Lexcel Solutions, Inc.	/s/ Chad S.C. Stover (#4919)	1/8/08

ORDER OF REFERENCE

IT IS ORDERED that the above motion(s) be referred to ___Leonard P. Stark___, United States Magistrate Judge, to conduct all proceedings and enter a final order on such motion(s) in accordance with 28 U.S.C.§636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P.73.

_____ Date	_____ United States District Judge

NOTE: RETURN THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT ONLY IF ALL PARTIES HAVE CONSENTED ON THIS FORM TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.